

## How reliable are Industrial Ethernet products?

*Industrial Ethernet devices are specifically designed for use in applications that demand high reliability even in tough environmental conditions. But how reliable are they? This article examines how different vendors measure the reliability of their products and outlines what customers should look for when selecting products for critical applications.*

Industrial Ethernet is designed for applications that demand reliability. But how reliable are the devices?

Industrial Ethernet was first coined in the late 1990's to describe Ethernet products designed for use in applications with challenging environments. Ethernet was promoted as an alternative to fieldbus technology, delivering openness and reduced costs due to the widespread availability of Ethernet components for office networks. Unfortunately, some of these same office devices, more suited to air conditioned environments, were actually deployed in industrial applications, with inevitable consequences of premature failures.

As customers became educated to the need for products with industrial, robust enclosures, the ability to withstand high operating temperatures, immunity to EMC interference and rapid ring recovery protocols, reliability and effectiveness of Ethernet networks in industrial applications improved. But despite users deploying 'industrial Ethernet' devices, poor network reliability and device failures did occur. Devices were being described as 'industrial Ethernet' irrespective of their suitability for use in tough industrial applications. Customers assumed that because a product had a DIN-Rail clip, it had been designed specifically to cope with the demands of extreme environments.

Product reliability has improved, but problems still arise. Only recently Westermo was asked to troubleshoot an Ethernet network at a wind farm, and we discovered that the problem was caused by software glitches and unreliable Ethernet switches. The customer was surprised to discover that these 'industrial' devices were not up to the task.

## High cost of maintenance is critical

Failed and unreliable networking products cost industrial users millions of dollars every year in maintenance costs, network and product downtime and loss of service. On this occasion the sites were unmanned and maintenance visits were costly adding to the significant loss of revenue for the operator whilst the turbine was out of service.

The more critical the application, the greater emphasis there is on equipment reliability. Should a device fail in a mission critical application there might well be redundancy and/or network repair technology in place. However, there may not be an opportunity to repair or replace the failed product until a scheduled period of downtime.

All devices will eventually fail at some point, but it is important to understand and plan for the consequences of a failure. Firstly, how will a failure affect the network and application as a whole? Will this cause a network failure and possibly production downtime or loss of service?

Secondly if a device fails, how easy will it be to maintain or replace? If a device is installed in an accessible cabinet onsite, it can be easily replaced. But if it is installed in a remote location, this may require a service engineer to take a trip to diagnose the problem. Network and device reliability are critical and raises the question, exactly how reliable are industrial Ethernet products?

When evaluating products from different vendors, customers need to not only know the functionality and price of the product, but also the full life cycle cost including maintenance and possibly the cost of network downtime. Saving a few hundred dollars on the unit price of a device may prove to be insignificant against the cost of travelling out to replace it or worse still a loss of service. The more critical the application, the more important the reliability of the device is. Ask any vendor how reliable their industrial Ethernet products are and "robust, suitable for industrial use and very reliable" will be the reply. But how is reliability quantified?

### Mean Time Between Failure (MTBF)

Mean Time Between Failure (MTBF) is the predicted elapsed time between inherent failure of a device or system during operation. MTBF can be calculated as the arithmetic mean (average) time between failures. MTBF is a measure of how reliable a product is and usually given in units of hours. The higher the MTBF, the more reliable the product is. Manufacturers often provide it as an index of a product's reliability and, in some cases, to give customers an idea of how much service to plan for. However, the numbers quoted must not be confused with the expected service life of a product.

During the service life the failure rate is proportional to 1/MTBF. Just because a device has a stated MTBF it does not mean that that product will last that long. In fact in a system consisting of a large number of devices with low MTBF figures, statistically there can be a failure quite often. If a device has an MTBF of 100,000 hours (11 years) and there are 1000 of these devices in the system, the expectation is of a failure every 100 hours (4 days).

The MTBF figure can be calculated using lab test data, actual field failure data or a statistical model. Live testing in labs can give very good numbers if few fail. Real data from the field collected over time tends to be very accurate but difficult to collect. Statistical or computer models offer a comparison, but do tend to deliver worst case figures.

If we ran 1000 units for 1000 hours and 10 failed the calculated MTBF would be  $(1000 \times 1000) / 10 = 100,000$  hours. The MTBF is often calculated based on an algorithm that factors in all of a product's components to reach the sum life cycle in hours.

$$MTBF = \frac{1}{FR_1 + FR_2 + FR_3 + \dots + FR_n}$$

*(FR is failure rate of each component of the system up to n components)*

The same equation can calculate the MTBF of a system with a number of devices, with FR being the failure rate of the device instead of the component. So if, for example, there are three devices in your network with MTBFs of 100,000 hrs, 100,000 hrs and 200,000 hrs the system, the MTBF will be 40,000 hrs. For electronic devices, it is commonly assumed that components have constant failure rates, and failure rates follow an exponential law of distribution. MTBF is also reduced by increased operating temperature. As a rule of thumb, MTBF reduces by 50% for every 10°C rise in temperature. Normally MTBFs are quoted for use at 25°C. The number of units failing will increase exponentially as you approach and pass the actual calculated MTBF.

### **Increasing the MTBF of Ethernet devices**

By designing and constructing Ethernet devices to the highest standards, it is possible to increase the MTBF. Careful consideration must go into the components used. The quality of these is a major factor in the size of the MTBF. Cheaper, lower quality components, which individually may fail under certain conditions or time periods, will inevitably reduce the MTBF. Multi-board designs leads to lower MTBF and the greater number of components used within a device, the greater chance that one of these will fail.

The use of electrolytic capacitors can also reduce the MTBF. These components offer larger capacitance which helps to prevent a loss of service between the time power is lost and a UPS starts to operate. However these capacitors dry up over a period of time, affecting the MTBF. Vendors often provide solutions to overcome this by only using this type of component if the application specifically requires it.

There are other variables that impact product failures. Aside from component failures, the application/installation can also result in failure. If a customer misuses a product and it malfunctions, should that be considered a failure? In reality, depreciation modes of the product could limit the life of the product much earlier due to the variables listed above. It is very possible to have a product with an extremely high MTBF, but an average or more realistic expected service life.

### **Different MTBF calculation standards**

An MTBF may be an expected line item in an RFQ (Request for Quote). Without the proper data, a manufacturer's piece of equipment would be immediately disqualified. When equipment such as industrial Ethernet switches must be installed into mission critical applications, MTBF becomes very important. Therefore the higher the MTBF, the higher the reliability, but are all MTBF figures the same?

In short, the answer is no! There is currently a lack of consistency between the MTBF figures supplied by vendors. Two products with the same MTBF figures may not have the same level of reliability. The MTBF figure is certainly a good starting point when understanding reliability of a product, but unfortunately that is not enough. The method used to calculate the MTBF figure is critical.

The two most common calculation methods Ethernet devices are MIL-HD BK-217F Notice 2 (Military Handbook) and Telcordia SR 332. The MIL-HDBK-217F method gives a relatively conservative MTBF for industrial applications since it is based on military component requirements. The MIL-HDBK-217F method encompasses two ways to predict reliability: Parts Count Prediction, which is used to predict the reliability of a product in its early development cycle, and Parts Stress Analysis Prediction, used towards the end of the development cycle, as the product nears production. There are also environmental conditions (ground, naval or airborne applications) to take into account in the calculation dependant on the location of the installation. Typically the Ground Benign (GB) environment is used in calculation for industrial equipment.

The Telcordia SR332 method originated in the telecom industry. The calculation is simpler than MIL-HDBK-217F and tends to lead to higher MTBF figures. It is sometimes used for products that may not perform well in the MIL standard calculation. Another area to consider is that an operating cycle can be defined in the calculation. Assuming the unit will only operate 5 days a week and for 8 hours a day, a huge MTBF figure can be calculated. It is for the user to decide whether their power substation or water pumping system can be switched off overnight.

Another factor to consider is the operating temperature the MTBF relates to. Higher operating temperatures reduce the MTBF significantly. The MTBF is usually calculated at an operating temperature of 25°C. If the device is going to be used continuously in temperatures above that, it is important to acquire the MTBF at that operating temperature.

When installing industrial Ethernet networks in applications that require low levels of maintenance and/or continuous uptime, the reliability of individual devices will be critical. Naturally vendors will promote how robust and reliable their own devices are, but where the reliability is backed up with a high MTBF figure, the questions for users must be; what method was used to calculate the MTBF figure, was it the MIL-HDBK-217F Notice 2 (Military Handbook) method and at what operating temperature is the figure related to?

It then falls to vendors to ensure this information is available, accurate and with the supporting documentation to ensure customers select the appropriate devices to meet the demands of their application. If the vendor is unable to supply all this, then the user needs to consider whether this is the right product for their application.

### **Ray Lock**

*Network Technology Director  
Westermo Group*

For more information on Westermo and the WeOS operating systems cyber security features please visit <http://westermo.com/>.